October 1, 1965 MW-M-1243

Dear Herb:

SUBJECT: Proposal: Questioned Cost Sales Order No. 71945

We are submitting the attached proposal in an attempt to resolve costs questioned by your office in connection with a portion of the final audit of our Sales Order 71945. It is our understanding that additional costs questioned may evolve from the balance of the audit which will involve a test of material and labor transfers, travel and other journal entries.

At the beginning of the program, due to its size and security requirements we were required to set up a separate facility. The need for a separate cost center was discussed with the Contracting Officer and it was mutually agreed not to change the basis for absorption of overhead and the contract was negotiated accordingly. The subsequent inclusion of negotiated fiscal year overhead rates during the performance of the contract confirms this mutual agreement.

With regards to Capital Equipment, since the contract required approval of all items over \$500 and they were subsequently approved by the Contracting Officer we are of the opinion that we must only demonstrate a program requirement for any of these items under \$500 (e.g. accessories for equipment already authorized for local or field use, general purpose equipment etc). Even though a majority of the equipment in the secured facility was supplied by the customer Perkin-Elmer did provide most of the equipment in the open areas. Acquisition of field equipment was directed in accordance with Attachment B (copy attached).

Our understanding of the costs questioned indicate that these costs relate to allocability of costs (direct vs indirect) rather than cost disallowances and that your office concurs with this understanding. Therefore our proposal is contingent upon joint acceptance of reclassification of costs by both cognizant audit groups at Perkin-Elmer and we suggest that your office is the proper party to resolve this matter with the other group. For your information we have negotiated overhead rates through 31 July 1963 and we are about to negotiate fiscal 1964 rates. We assume that the subject overhead adjustment will be considered in our fiscal 1965 submission, even though the adjustment will not be booked until fiscal 1966, since the reclassified costs apply to prior years.

-2-

October 1, 1965 MW-M-1243

We	1ook	forward	to	your	acceptance	or	comments	at	your	earliest
cor	venie	ence.								

S	TATINTL .	
	Charlie	

mw

Attachments

THE PERKIN-ELMER CORPORATION Electro-Optical Division

	STATINTL		(
TO:			September	30, 1965
FROM:	ST	ATINTL		
SUB TROT•	Finel Sattlement Proposal (2.0. 710/5	:	

The following is our proposal for final settlement on Perkin-Elmer Sales Order 71945 (exclusive of any adjustments that may be necessary through your audit of Material and Labor Transfers, Travel Expenses and Journal Entries). The final page of this proposal contains a summary of the amounts questioned, and the amounts that we would consider for reclassification.

I. CAPITAL EQUIPMENT

Detailed studies of our Capital Equipment Procurement Approvals (items of an estimated cost of \$500.00 or more) indicate that the items under \$500.00 were shipped to the Field Site, (as required and authorized by Attachment B of the Contract) or were used as Accessory Equipment to the, already approved, Capital Equipment.

For the most part, any item that was shipped to the Field is documented by Shipping papers.

Further justification for charging these items directly to the Contract follow:

- (1) The items shipped to the Field will not be available for use at Perkin-Elmer for an extended period beyond the requirements of this Contract, and hence no other Projects can derive use of this equipment. The application of Field Service rate, which is devoid of Depreciation Expense further justifies use of Customer owned equipment.
- (2) The Accessory Equipment is a necessary item for the proper useage of the larger Capital Equipment. Once attached, or even just associated by its' use, this Accessory Equipment is no longer available for use by other Projects.

(3) The Security (which demanded a segregated area), size and schedule requirements of this Program further limited the utilization of Perkin-Elmer's Capital Equipment.

Therefore, it is our contention that all of the items (classified as "Capital Equipment") would be allowable as direct contract costs.

II. WIRE

While we agree with our past policy of charging wire to operating expense, we further believe; we are reasonably sure, you also agree, that most Accounting policies must have some flexibility.

STATINTL

An exception to this policy is the procurement of gold wire. This wire procured at a cost of approximately an ounce is highly specialized, the purpose of which is classified; should not be categorized along with types of wire, procured from a commercial outlet, at a cost of about .06 a hundred feet.

We have determined that the cost of this "special	₃₁ STATINTL
purpose" wire was This represents of the	9
questioned wire. The acceptable amount, for reclassi	££TATINTL
cation to operating expense, of is arrived at	bv
cation to operating expense, of is arrived at applying to the "blown-up" cost of wire.	STATINTL

STATINTL

III. CHEMICALS

In attempting to have more consistent Accounting practices we would be willing to concede a 100% reclassification of the blown-up cost of chemicals.

IV. CLEAN ROOM UNIFORMS

Consistency, being our general area of concern, we would be willing to reclassify 100% of the questioned amount. The amount questioned represents the actual amount charged to this contract; therefore, we do not concur to the using of the blown-up factor to this item.

While the above statements are true for this contract, it is evident that other succeeding Special Projects contracts have been directly charged for this expense; accordingly, we will reclassify this charge, and credit the contract.

V. ELECTRONICS & HARDWARE, TOOLS AND SUPPLIES

STATINTL

A. Justification for charging of these items directly to the contract is explained by the following:

(1)	These items, as required and authorized 1	ρv
	contract, are shipped directly to the Est	.1.
	Site, which is documented by shipping par	ers.

The intent of this was to set up an initial stock of supplies, equipment and tools for a Field facility in order that they would be available for subsequent use by Field Personnel.

(2) The Field Service Contract, which provided services at the out-of plant burden rate, came into being as of September, 1962. The Field Contract did not provide for these supplies, etc., instead, as originally intended, the burden of initial Field stockage was left to Sales Order 71945.

(a) Accordingly during F/Y 1963 expenses represent of the total expenditures. This is best explained by noting the Field Service Contract "birth" desqeATINTL was 9/62, and then realizing this

Contract was built from ermanent P-E Field Personnel to the present total of

STATINTL

STATINTL

- (3) The Out-Of Plant Burden Rate does not allow for those supplies, etc., so there could not be any reimbursement of these costs thru burden, and as indicated in the preceding paragraph, the Field Contract did not permit reimbursement thru a direct material charge.
- (4) Being reimbursed as a direct material charge under Sales Order 71945, and also charging full burden on the same order is equitable, and does not result in a "double-charge".
 - (a) The Full burden rate utilized on Sales Order 71945 only reimburses us for supplies etc., utilized at Norwalk operations.

In conclusion, this contract will only get charged for its' proper share of supplies, and no inequity has been "passed on" by charging those supplies as a direct contract cost.

STATINTL	The calculation for a justification is as follows: TATINTL	
	Amount questioned of supplies, tools, etc. Amount questioned of supplies, tools, etc.	
	% of F/Y 1963 to Total	
	STATINTI	

-4-

STATINTL

B. Justification for charging an additional directly to the contract for Tools, Supplies etc., used as accessories to Customer supplied, and acquired Capital Equipment, has been covered under the "Capital Equipment" justification.

VI. DEPOSITS FOR GAS CYLINDERS AND GAS EXPENSE

A. Deposits for Gas Cylinders.

Some of the gas cylinders were shipped directly to the Field, and by not having control of their destiny (after the gas was consumed), we could not assure their being returned to Norwalk. Some of these cylinders were probably turned into base supply, and others were turned into a gas supplier at Field operations.

Since the number of containers that were shipped to the Field is not readily available, we propose to completely disregard of these costs as a direct contract cost or as a current operating expense.

STATINTL

B. Gas Expense

This expense has been classified into two categories. The categories, and justification for their incurrence follows:

1. Helium - This type of gas is consumed directly in the deliverable equipment. Its use, directly, effects

STATINTL

Because this information is highly "sensitive", any further explanation, of the system, or the gases ultimate use, must be explained by oral discussion.

- 2. Other gases i.e., Nitrogen, Hydrogen, ${\rm Co}^2$ etc. In order to be consistent with our present policies we will concur to a reclassification of these gases.
 - (a) In all fairness to you, we believe that the "blown-up" gas expenses does not represent the amount that should be subject to reclassification.

A summary of the gases that were audited, and a calculation of the amount subject to reclassification follows:

Approved For Release 2002/09/04: CIA-RDP67B00820R000400010079-5

	_	Gas Expenses for period 1 July	62 to 31 July 63
	STATINTL		
×.			
•	•		
		Total Questioned	\$
Since thi calculati	s represents a port on was made:	ion of the probable gas expense,	the following INTL
	A.	F/Y 1963 total purchases	
	-	Total Program purchases % of F/Y "63 to total STATINTL	_
	B _o	F/Y 1963 gases subject to	STATINTL
•		reclassification STATINTL	
		Total gases subject to re-	
			nded
VI:	STATI	NTL	
•	Of the	questioned, we have specific app	
	(1) ultra	Individual items. The approvals ansonic generator covered and #1069.	are as foctows: NTL ed under TWX
	(2) Kern	theodolite - covered under T	WX #1086 STATINTL
STATINTL	(3) Carbo	flex equipment - covered un	der various TWX's.
	The remaining	items: vibration meter - \$50, 3	vibration pick-
	by other TWX's, b	out the cost of researching this	may be covered
•	The directifu	OI CONCESSION. HOWAVAR IF TO	faal 41-4
	Perernene we w	III EXDERG ARV Attorte that was	* h.d 1
STATINTL	be allowed as an	he reasons outlined above, we con item of allowable direct contract	atend this item t cost.
VIII.	DIRECT	LABOR CHARGES STATINTL	STATINTL
	Our proposal	for the allowance of of	77./22
	1965 direct labor a following:	as direct contract costs is based STATINTL	F/Y upon the
	(1) A area		
	direct	effort was relating to the solving of telegraphic contracts.	s expended chnical prob-
	(2) His ti	tle "Branch Chief" was a stature	symbol in order

•		
		to enhance his position in dealing with STATINTL
STATINTL	(3)	While it is recognized, that a comparatively small percentage of labor had been expended on supervision, we have not overlooked the need, and in some cases the contractual requirement, for rechnical abilities. TINTL
In order that a proper : Orders that charge	recla d.	ssification be made, we must give credit to Sales
We propose that this be (less the "Labor" portic second half of Fiscal 19	on cn	mplished by reclassifying all direct labor charged arged to Hi-level Technical Support) for the

THE PERKIN-ELMER CORPORATION SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PROPOSAL FOR QUESTIONABLE COSTS

PECO S.O. 71945

STATINTL

Category	Total Questione	d Amt's	Blown-up :	Amount Conceded for Reclassificati
I. Capital Equipme	nt			
II. Wire				
III. Chemicals				
─IV. Uniforms				
V. Electronics & H	ardware			
V. Expendable Tools	· s			
V. General Supplies	3			
V. Lab Supplies				
VI. Deposits on Gas				
VI. Gas				
VII. Rent				
Total Materials				
Labor	INTL			
Overhead				
Travel				
Totals STAT	INTL			
* Of material audit to total contract m	aterials	ras found to b	e questionable; applyir w-up" questionable mate	ng 4.0% erial&T&TINTL
	ATINTL	1		
bear to each other	STATIN	oy applying t TL	he same percent that th	
Your comments and/or	approval of this	proposal will	be appreciated.	STATINTL
This proposal is conterms & conditions cofrom Charlie (MW-M-1)	tingent upon the a ontained in the at 243) dated October	cceptance of tached letter	-	
Appr	oved For Release 200	02/09/04 : CIA-R	DP67B00820R00040001007	sion 9-5

ATTACHMENT "B"

FIELD SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FOR SIX (6) SYSTEMS

Collimator with six (6) large flats to permit observation of oblique positions.

Tool, meters, etc

Elec. - mech. check-out consoles:

Configuration

Window

Hatch

Pilot control

Film viewing table and microscope

Film rewinds

Dollies, Lifts, Hoists, Stands

Desiccation Equipment

Power Cart

Cabinets, Benches, Vacuum Cleaner, Office Equipment

Special Clothing

Flight Test Instrumentation

Photographic Equipment and Supplies

Optical Test Apparatus

Strength Tester

Vacuum Tester

Cycle Tester

August 13, 1962 JH-M-430

Dear Wendell:

cost of

The establishment of the clean area requested in our twx no. 935 was discussed with you and your technical people during my visit on 25 July. The technical decision was that the clean area was necessary, however the extent of Readquarters participation in the cost was left open for negotiation. This letter will serve to furnish additional information in support of our request. STATINTL

Early in 1959 it became apparent that a separate facility would be required for the engineering, assembly and test phases of the program. At that time we extended our lease on the plant with the though plent with the thought that one half of the plant area would be sufficient to house the program. Contract RE-518 was negotiated at this time to cover the rearrangement ATATINTL equipping of the applicable half of plant. This work was completed for October '59 occupancy and the rear half of the building was set up as a Division machine shop. In the spring of 1960 it became apparent that the full area of the plant would be necessary for this program, the machine shop was moved out, and the rear half of the building was made suitable for the program at our expense. STATINTL

STATINTL Of the equipment furnished by you under RE-518 and PR-8200 comprising a total value of the order of we can identify approximately as equipment peculiar to the tems being furnished under PR-8200. is equipment which will ultimately STATINTL be shipped to the Area for use in the Feek building. The remainder will be held for later use on M & O and spares requirements. Thus your net investment here over the last three years approximates Our own invest-ATINTL ment in plant and equipment for per on covernment work over that period has STATINTL been about of which can be identified in direct support of PR-8200. (This is itemized in attachment "A".) STATINTL Items not specifically identifiable as in direct support of this program also contribute in large measure to its support. In addition to direct capital investment we lease approximately worth of machi&TATINTL ery and equipment which is used to fabricate parts for your program as well as others. We believe that our support of PR-8200 as compared to other STATINTL programs in progress is normal. STATINTL Our specific request in regard to the Clean Room was in the amount This included our standard G & A of percent on the estimated

STATINTL

This estimated cost covered three main categories as follows:

-2 - STATINTL

Air conditioning, humidity, and dust control

Ceiling, lighting, and electrical

General construction

These are costs above the normally required lighting, heating, flooring, and wall treatment for the addition to the building if it were used for a stock room.

Questions raised during our last meeting regarding -a) the consideration which we would give for final title to the equipment and building improvements and -b) the ultimate disposition of the building can be enswered as follows:

S	Ī	7	1	T	1	١	1	T	Ľ
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

	a)	In consideration	n for ultim	ate title	we offer t	<u>o complate t</u>	:he
Clean Ro	om as	described in ou	r memo date	d 24 July	1962 from		EO
	for	r a total cost s	gainst PR-8	200 of		S	TATINT

STATINITI

that the program under PR-3200 would continue for a number of years, and that a purchase by us would eliminate any possibility at a later date that lease-hold extensions might be uneconomical or impossible. We expect upon completion of this program the building will be disposed of since it represents a decentralized operation with its attendent inefficiences and expenses. We wish to point out that maintenance costs, taxes, depreciation, etc. on this building are shared by others through overhead. Had this program been of a less sensitive nature security wise, we would have integrated it into operations at our main plant and used some of the equipment and facilities on a scheduled basis with other programs. To the extent possible this is being done in machining, electronic sub-assembly, optical fabrication and testing. However security precludes final assembly and testing at any facility other than Conn. Ave.

Since our meeting at your office on 7 August, we have reviewed the requirements regarding permissible particle size and find no substantial reduction in either specification or cost is feasible.

We believe the above requested support of the Clean Area to be justified and reasonable and solicit your approval at the earliest possible time.

	ATTACHMENT "A"	
٠	TATINTL	STATI
	Machine Shop Move and Modification of Rear Half of Bldg.	
	Sprinkler	
	Rental of spacial warehouse	
	Purchase of STATINTL	
	P-E equipment at	
	Shell of addition STATINTL	
	Optical Shop Equipment	•
		•
	Optical Shop Equipment	

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Standard LIST OF PURCHASE ORDERS FOR ITEMS OF CAPITAL EQUIPMENT NATURE UNDER \$500. CONTRACT PR-8200

STATINTL

STATINTL

Purchase Order No.	<u>Vendor</u>	Dogomination	A	.
<u> </u>	Velicion	<u>Description</u>	Amount	Remarks
68934		Riveter & Accessories		Special Air Riveter used because con-vention tools NG
68842		Scale		Precision scale for overhead lifting
6 ९ 934		Drill & Hose		Part of Riveter
<u>250</u>		Fan	1	For GFE KH Huppest
			1	Furnace
69381		Disposal Unit	1	Burning of Security
71958		0 1111		Waste
71930		Oscilliscope Camera		To make photos of
76609		Multi - Probe		glass, etc.
,000		ratti - riobe	1	Electronic testing of motors
7 5854		Microscopes		Optical Testing on
				Autocollimators
		Microscopes	1	Optical Testing on
				Autocollimators
77156		Calibration Check Unit		Strain gage for
			1	Structural Strength
80768				Test
00/00		Random Noise Generator Installation Tool	1	For Measuring RFI
		installation loof		To install huck
96157		Seal Dry Mounting Press		fastners on platform Photo work & data
		l dear bry manering rices		reduction
97085		Portable Current Meter		Test of Vacuum
		& Torrid		Windows
96249		Ion Pumps		Test of Vacuum
06/15				Windows
96415		Force Gauge		Mfg. of Vacuum
97078		 Heaters		Windows
37070		neaters		Mfg. of Vacuum Windows
97120		Tanks		In Resistance welder
				room to hold chemical:
87342		Vac Pump		Test of Vacuum
87436		D-11 T A	1	Windows
01750		Bell Jar Assy.		Test of Vacuum
89290		B&S Height Gage		Windows
90034		Microscope		Q.C. Inspection Tool
				For measuring data reduction
90059		Microhm meter		For mfg. of vacuum
				windows
			1 1	

STATINTL Purchase Order No. Vendor Description Amount Remarks 89425 Jato Air Fixture Optical Test 34940 Chairs and tables For clean room 91612 JI II II 91606 Dynamometer For system Test STATINTL SUB TOTAL STATINTL Repairs to equipment and other capital items under \$500. as per discussions STATINTL STATINTL STATINTL 67857 Prakica Camera Q.C. Inspection 67952 Microscopes & Optical Check-out micrometers 71906 Amplifiers Stabilization Check-out of Accelerometers 76642 Office Supplies 73110 Micrometers Optical Check-out 76889 Benches and legs Stand for collimator Various Clean room equipment Misc. clean room capital equipment 30833 Duct System For clean room Repair to CFE Repair Century recorder. CFE No. 11 11 11 SPO 5277 Repair Memo Scope. Repair Oscilliscope, repair Oscilligraph. Leight gage). Why accept in view of the lemilation, even though the didn't contemplate furnishing? STATINTL STATINTL of entatlation of underflow duct system in clean rooms Juestionable should be paid of limitation. STATINTL